

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,504	07/08/2003	Philippe Bazot	FR920020030US1	9216
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC 75 STATE STREET 14TH FLOOP			EXAMINER	
			SURVILLO, OLEG	
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2142	
	•			(
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/615,504	BAZOT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Oleg Survillo	2142

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED <u>05 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 1.114	mendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 time periods:	i. The reply must be filed within one of the following
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final reject	ion.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee od for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR a filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period AMENDMENTS	R 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or set (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal because appeal; and/or	y materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	*{
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached No	tice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	the state of the s
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted non-allowable claim(s).	in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appear	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the da because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, I entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejection showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier	ns under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the	e application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper I 13. Other:	No(s)
	andrew Caldina
	ANDREW CALDWELL

SOURCE PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3(a): NOTE: the claims, as proposed, now specify that the service availability request comprises a request for an availability of determined content server and the service availability token contains at least a percentage of availability of the determined content server. These newly added limitations would change the scope of the invention and would necessitate further consideration and search.